## **Summary of Objections**

## The East Sussex (Various Roads, Jarvis Brook) (30mph and 40mph Speed Limit) Order

| Objection   | Officers Response   | Recommendation             |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| Objector 1: The proposed change to the speed limit on the B2100 was discussed at the        | Response: The predominant factors that we have to consider when   | To implement the speed     |
| Environment Committee meeting last night. Members were concerned that the proposal          | we are determining a speed limit is the level of frontage development   | limit Order as advertised. |
| is for a 40mph speed limit, and considered that the limit should be a continuation of the   | and the average speed of drivers using the road. National guidance  |                            |
| existing 30mph speed restriction.   | on setting local speed limits recommends that we align the speed limit so that the average speed driven is at, or just below the posted speed |                            |
| If a phased limit is required due to the speed of the traffic travelling down the hill from | limit.  |                            |
| Rotherfield then it is requested that the existing 30mph speed limit is extended to         |   |                            |
| incorporate the entrance to Sybron Way.   | The speed survey results recorded the average speed of traffic to be  |                            |
|   | 38mph eastbound and 40mph westbound. The results of the speed   |                            |
|   | survey clearly show that a 40mph speed limit is the most appropriate  |                            |
|   | for the character and appearance of the road.   |                            |
|   | The guidance on setting local speed limits clearly indicates that speed   |                            |
|   | limits should not be extended to solve an isolated hazard like a road   |                            |
|   | junction.   |                            |
|   |   |                            |
|   | The existing 30mph speed limit starts just before the railway bridge  |                            |
|   | and the more built up part of the road. This approach helps drivers   |                            |
|   | understand the reason for the 30mph speed limit. If we extend the   |                            |
|   | 30mph speed limit along the part of the road where the buildings are set well back from the road we will dilute the 30mph speed limit on the  |                            |
|   | more built up part of Crowborough Hill. The existing 30mph terminal   |                            |
|   | signs at the start of the more developed part of the road would also  |                            |
|   | have to be removed diluting the 30mph on Crowborough Hill further.  |                            |
|   |   |                            |
|   | As there is system of street lights along this part of the B2100 we   |                            |
|   | would not be allowed to provide 30mph repeater signs at regular   |                            |
|   | intervals along the road to help remind drivers of the speed limit leading to a very poorly communicated and therefore unlikely to be         |                            |
|   | complied with 30mph speed limit.  |                            |
|   |   |                            |
|   | I .   |                            |